ஆந்திர மாநிலம் ஒப்புக்கொள்ளவில்லை என்றால் இதை மத்திய சர்க்காருடைய கவனத்திற்குக் கொண்டு வந்து இதற்குத் தீர்வு காணவேண்டுமென்பதற்காகத்தான் இப்போது இந்தப் பிரேரணை மூலமாக கனம் அமைச்சருடைய கவனத்திற்குக் கொண்டுவர விரும்புகிறேன். கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் துரிதமாக நடவடிக்கை யெடுக்கவேண்டுமென்று கேட்டுக் கொண்டு எனது உரையை முடித்துக்கொள்கிறேன்.

* THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, prior to 1st July 1945, the villages of Elavoor, Sunnambukulam, Opasamudram, Poongulam, Mangodu and Kallur, forming portions of Pulicat Lake were included in the Chingleput district. The absence of actual demarcation of the boundary between the Chingleput and the Nellore districts in the Pulicat lake area and the existence of only a conventional boundary led to disputes between the limeshell contractors of Chingleput and Nellore districts. To put an end to the disputes, the Government transferred with effect on and from the 1st July 1945, the above areas from the Chingleput district to the Nellore district. After the formation of the Andhra State, the Andhra Pradesh Government were addressed in December 1954 for their concurrence for the retransfer of the areas to this State. They however declined to accede to our request on the ground that the transfer was effected long before the formation of the Andhra State and the circumstances that led to the revision of the boundary in 1945 still held good. The question was taken up again in January 1958 when representations were received to secure the transfer of the shell-pits of the above villages but was not pursued. The villages have not been included in the list of areas to be transferred to the State under the Pataskar award. As the Pataskar award was accepted *in toto* by both the Governments, no further action was taken regarding the transfer of these villages.

III.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION *RE* THE SERIOUS SITUATION CREATED BY THE ARREST OF WORKERS OF PETTAVAITHALI SUGAR FACTORY BY POLICE.

MR. SPEAKER : I have received notice of adjournment motion from the hon. Member, Sri S. M. Annamalai, to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, viz., the serious situation created by the arrest of workers of the Pettaivaithalai Sugar Factory by the Police.

To settle labour disputes, there is a machinery and the Assembly cannot be made the forum for settling labour disputes. Arrest of workers takes place in the normal course of law and therefore I do not see how this subject-matter can be discussed in this House. So, I rule the adjournment motion out of order.

IV.—POINT OF ORDER *RE* MAIN OPPOSITION PARTY.

* SRI N. K. PALANISAMI : On a point of order, Sir. Is it in order and in accordance with conventions of parliamentary procedure for the main Opposition Party with less than 21 Members to function in this House as the main Opposition Party ? I understand that the Indian National Democratic Congress held a meeting which was addressed by the Leader of the All-India Swatantra Party who asked its Members to oppose the Land Ceiling Bill which is being discussed in this House. They agreed to get signatures of the Members in support of the proposal but some of the progressive Members of the

16th April 1960] [Sri N. K. Palanisami]

Party opposed it and came out of the Party. Thus there is a split in the party and I want to know whether this information has been carried to you . . .

Mr. SPEAKER : I am afraid I am not aware of anything that the hon. Member is saying. It may be so but I am not aware of any split or reduction in the strength of the members of the Main Opposition Party. At the present moment the strength of their members is equal to the quorum that is necessary for the House. I therefore recognize the hon. Member, Sri V. K. Ramaswamy Mudaliyar, as the hon. the Leader of the Main Opposition. But if at any stage I come to know that the strength of his party is diminished, I hope the hon. the Leader of the Opposition will tell me about it—then the hon. Member Sri V. K. Ramaswamy Mudaliyar will become the leader of one of the Opposition Parties instead of being the Leader of the Main Opposition. Therefore, there is no point of order and I cannot come to the rescue of the hon. Member, Sri Palanisami.

V.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS ANATOMY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1960.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Madras Anatomy (Amendment) Bill, [a] 1960.

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is—

'That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Anatomy (Amendment) Bill, 1960.'

The motion was put and carried and leave was granted.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I introduce the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER : The Bill is introduced.

(2) THE MADRAS CORNEAL GRAFTING BILL, 1960.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Madras Corneal Grafting Bill, [a] 1960.

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is—

'That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Corneal Grafting Bill, 1960.'

The motion was put and carried and leave was granted.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I introduce the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER : The Bill is introduced.

(3) THE MADRAS JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS (REGULATION OF REPORTS) BILL, 1960.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Madras Judicial Proceedings (Regulation of Reports) Bill, 1960.

a Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* Extraordinary, dated 16th April 1960.